

# Remarks On Anarchism

Jack R Ernest

# Copyright

Copyright © April 2023 by Jack R Ernest

All rights reserved. This book or any portion thereof may not be reproduced or used in any manner whatsoever without the expressed written permission of the publisher except for the use of brief quotations in a book review or scholarly journal.

First Printing: April 2023

ISBN: 978-1-4477-7535-5

# Introduction

“Rather than love, than money, than fame, give me truth.” - Henry David Thoreau

I would strongly recommend the reader first reads my other book: “The Labelling Phenomenon: Volume Two,” before they read this work. If you read that book first, you will better understand my theory in this book. I would also recommend you read my other book: “Remarks On Existential Nihilism: Labelling, Narcissism and Existential Maturity,” to better understand my theory on society.

This collection of remarks is random and thus may be difficult to read and understand. I also must confess that I repeat myself often in different ways. I do this on purpose to try to make it easier for the reader to understand what I am attempting to suggest.

# Society

If you meet someone new, the first three questions they ask you are 1) Where do you work? 2) Are you married? 3) Do you have children? Thus we become petrified of answering “no” to those three questions. Thus to avoid the embarrassment, we work, we get married and we have children. But here is another trick: stop meeting people and you won't be asked these questions that you are pressurized to answer.

I know it is cliched, but if the whole town thinks you are the nicest person around, you are doing something wrong.

“We have to create culture, don't watch TV, don't read magazines, don't even listen to NPR. Create your own roadshow. The nexus of space and time where you are now is the most immediate sector of your universe, and if you're worrying about Michael Jackson or Bill Clinton or somebody else, then you are disempowered, you're giving it all away to icons, icons which are maintained by an electronic media so that you want to dress like X or have lips like Y. This is shit-brained, this kind of thinking. That is all cultural diversion, and what is real is you and your friends and your associations, your highs, your orgasms, your hopes, your plans, your fears. And we are told 'no', we're unimportant, we're peripheral. 'Get a degree, get a job, get a this, get a that.' And then you're a player, you don't want to even play in that game. You want to reclaim your mind and get it out of the hands of the cultural engineers who want to turn you into a half-baked moron consuming all this trash that's being manufactured out of the bones of a dying world.” - Terence McKenna

Quite ironic, in that in democratic countries, people are exercising their right to use their voice to silence someone else. In a healthy society, everyone has a voice, and that includes the person you despise.

The pressure for the male to have sex is immense, such that some men would rather be called a rapist than a virgin.

Perpetual motion exists; it is called society.

We are used to thinking that happiness is monetary. That once we have enough money to buy things and pay bills, then we can declare ourselves to be content. But there is another sociological aspect to how happy we determine ourselves to be and that is how our peers label us. Do they like us or do they not like us? Look at insecure people. They all want to be famous, for these two reasons: to have money and to make people adore them.

The stigmatization, or at least the threat of stigmatization, is the weapon used to keep society in check in democratic countries.

We are so quick to condemn in society. If only we were as quick to forgive.

You see the world from the perspective of how the economic system wishes you to see it. We are only as free as the system imposed upon us.

Even the most ardent right-wing individual would admit that the female's obsession with beauty is sociological. Society makes them obsessed. But you can apply a similar reasoning to what careers they choose or why there are fewer geniuses in their gender. It is sociological.

Destroy the group and you destroy the economic system. Purge, annihilate, vapourize society. Then the people in power will suffer. Society is how they sustain their wealth. People just cannot see this. They are content with so little that they don't care. It is the house, the neighbourhood, the city, the country, the continent, the globe, that must be destroyed, before any change will happen. As long as you have a population, you will have an economic system that is heavily skewed in favour of the minority. No revolution changes this.

As Huxley said, condition people on what happiness is and then give them enough money to try and attain it. Society is kept obedient so long as they are lost in the quest to be happy.

Being afraid of being shunned is a result of the regulation by the system. This is how you are controlled to ultimately benefit the state. Thus once you overcome your fear of being ostracized, you can obtain maturity.

Being rude results in being shunned. Who else is shunned in society? The criminals of course. Thus being rude is a deviancy in itself.

To be accepted by the herd for who we are, that is what troubles us.

If you asked me what is the best society to live in, I would say the Native American societies prior to colonization.

The sense of contemptible irony: You make one mistake, the people shun you, you commit suicide, and then the very same people who shunned you, come to your funeral and say you were a great person.

As RD Laing suggested, simply being seen by another human being is a form of psychological violence upon you.

As Karl Marx suggested, we are essentially social constructs. We are not determining who we are. It is society that is determining who we are.

Society on a microscopic level is essentially the same in its functionality as a one man dictatorship. But within society we are all dictators and we are all peasants. We are all oppressors and we are all oppressed. How is this so? How are we at once both the oppressor and the oppressed? We are because we all must validate and be validated by those we interact with. In the neighbourhood, at the schools, at work, on the Saturday night out etc. If we don't validate those we know, they shun us and we in turn shun them. In the Soviet Union Stalin sent you to a gulag if you went against the party's line. In free societies, being shunned by those you once were cordial with, is the equivalent of the gulag. So society is essentially a dictatorship.

The man that is worth millions but rejected by the community, will at the bare minimum become melancholic, if not suicidal. But the man with an average income, who is accepted by the community, considers himself happy. Take what you want from that.

Acceptance, that is what we are after. That is what we are condemned to be consumed by. If you interact with others, acceptance will dominate your thoughts.

It is the subtle threat of being ostracized, not by the production owner, but by your colleagues, that forces your hand. That is why everyone is so courteous. That is why you get married and have children. The person that is not courteous gets frozen out. The person that chooses to remain single, is also in ways shunned. And don't for one minute think that the 1% don't know this. They are



fully aware or cognizant of it. Keep society at war with itself, that is how they reap the rewards.

The textbook schizoid, who keeps within the law and keeps to himself, gets shunned. Socialization is not an option but a requirement.

One hears commentators remark that we must end war or disease or famine or poverty, and then society will be perfect. This is incorrect. It is because even in times of utopian bliss and peace, a psychological war is taking place in society. Even in a society that lacked those four things I just mentioned, people are still falling out with each other. Perhaps they don't get along with someone they work with or perhaps they did not go to someone's wedding and so on. You just cannot create a perfect society.

Why are most men and women not geniuses? The answer is society. But why do we see more male geniuses than female geniuses? The answer is sociological. Specifically the answer lies in labelling and what either sex has to do to be liked. With men, they have to be successful to be liked by the opposite sex. But in order for women to be liked by men, they have to be physically attractive. But it is sociological because where do women learn such a thing? They learn it in the schools or the neighbourhoods or the workplaces.

The driving force is not sex but rather being liked. This obsession with being validated consumes us. A huge part of why we do what we do is to be liked by our peers.

Children are slaves to money, but also slaves to interpretation. Their success in life is as equally dependent on interpretation as it is money.

Out of our fear of being mislabelled, society is born.

Imagine a small town of maybe 10,000 people. If you are mentally ill, you get gossiped about. If you are homosexual, you get gossiped about. If you visit escorts, you get gossiped about. Thus people learn to try and avoid being gossiped about. You achieve this by behaving in a very finely engineered way. This small town thus sustains itself through the psychological violence of being gossiped about. It can only function from the psychological threat of stigma, because everyone as a result of this threat gets married, works, has children, does not break the law and so on.

I keep going back to it and I apologize for being redundant, but psychological violence is unique to humans. No animal worries about what other animals think of him. They may fear an animal physically but not psychologically. A Lion is not afraid of being ridiculed by a Hyena. Whereas a man or woman is petrified of being humiliated by another man or woman. This psychological violence plays a huge role in forging human societies.

The Native Americans had a really good life or system, up until the Europeans colonized the continent. Since then they have been suffering. It makes you think.

If you don't expose your identity to people, you don't have to worry about what they think of you. Thus you can take from that, if you do expose your identity to people, you then have to worry about what they think of you.

What it comes down to is that people would rather you burned down their house than you shun them.

Conformity is great for society, but not necessarily good for the individual.

Bakunin's quote about the people's stick best sums up my philosophy. Any stress in life is not just coming from those in charge but also from those you consider your allies.

That humans are fundamentally flawed, means society will always be flawed also.

The right to commit a crime is the most important of one's civil liberties.

The excessively nice people within society are deviant in their own way. But this deviancy is normalized.

You cannot have freedom without freedom for the criminal. The freedom the criminal has to commit his crime is the same freedom that the law-abiding individual thrives in. For example, let's say one tries to create the perfect society by rounding up all the criminals and sending them off to concentration camps to be worked, if not tortured. Obviously, such a world is bad for the criminal. But it is also bad for the law-abiding individual. This society is basically the Soviet Union under Stalin. Thus, a healthy society that is good to live in, contains a certain level of crime.

We shame the criminal, but one can learn so much from him also. The criminal is able to be shamed and shunned and not care. It is not caring that law abiding people could use. The criminals need to be less criminal, but the law abiding need to be less virtuous.

Men sacrifice social standing for themselves. Women do the opposite.

Validation can be revoked at any time. That is the threat made against the middle class. At least the criminal knows where he stands.

As long as man is flawed, society will be flawed.

It is amazing when you analyse down syndrome individuals. Their IQ is so low, yet they are incredibly happy. Yet in contrast people who have vastly superior lives are incredibly bitter.

Christian extremists, much like the rulers, emphasize the family unit as the method to live and be happy. And again they extol this means of living because of the birth-rate being so important to them. Then anything that damages the family unit must be banned.

“The individual cannot bargain with the State. The State recognizes no coinage but power: and it issues the coins itself.” - Ursula K. Le Guin

The dream life is the oppression and brutality enforced upon you by your rulers.

People conform to avoid being labelled deviant. You may not even be deviant, but if you defy conformity, you get treated just as if you were deviant.

If you look at the list of Roman Emperors, numerous of them have been murdered. That is the reality of the world you live in, where people can turn on you at any stage.

The labelling war amongst the middle class keeps them subservient and regulated, to the 1%. But they have been tricked into blaming the 1%. That distracts them from targeting their true oppressors: themselves. They cannot see the woods from the trees. A sort of delusional captivity where the enemies are thought to be the guards of this hypothetical prison, when they in reality are the prisoners themselves that keep each other in line. I keep repeating it and I

apologize for being redundant, but keep the middle class together, be it the educational system, the neighbourhood or the workplace. Then they all monitor each other for the good of the economic system.

The solidarity and cohesion of the group is precisely the focal point of one's regulation. The group, to protect itself, gangs up on those who are loners. But who truly suffers? Is it the solitary individual that is at the bare minimum gossiped about for his choice or is it the person in the group that is afraid of being thrown out of that said group. Who has it worse? For me it is the person in the group that lives in fear of being ostracized, rather than the loner that is ostracized. The individual in the group is going to get married and have two children, to just fit in. That is pressure the group enforces upon him. The loner is not subject to any such pressure. So again I ask, who has more liberty? It is a rhetorical question.

A product of the economic system is we are in constant movement. We are locked in a state of perpetual advancement. Like a shark we must keep moving forward in order to feel happy and that is why so many are miserable. We leverage it all on a promotion or pay rise or a new car or another child. In continuously dreaming of what we could become, we are in reality sacrificing who we are.

If you cannot live with being disliked, you are going to be miserable being liked.

You have a relationship with the billions of people you don't know as much as the couple of thousand you actually do know.

The character of any society or culture can be best measured by the freedom its women have. People tend to gravitate towards societies where women have a voice and equal rights, where they have an influence. Whereas societies like some Muslim countries, basically

imprison their women and hence they are more authoritarian societies. Thus it stands to reason, to improve the standards of a country, improve the standards for its women, because when women gain influence, they tend to make places better. "If you wish to know how civilized a culture is, look at how they treat its women."  
Bacha Khan

You don't really suffer in life, only in how society treats you.

Do it and it is not perfect; don't do it and it is still not perfect. That is society for you. Legalize escorting, there is violence; criminalize escorting and there is still violence.

You can best measure your freedom, any little that you have got, by the number of people that dislike you. In my philosophy of anarchism, the herd is contemptible. The herd is a huge part of our woes and sorrow. Then as Kafka said, no matter the revolution, the herd always becomes corrupted.

The crowd gathers to demonstrate against the dictator, not realizing that it is they themselves that are the true enemy of freedom. Governments can be overthrown, but despite every revolution, society remains intact and hence the oppression against society does too. Society is the true dictator of the individual.

The loaded gun of shunning is all the herd has to keep itself in check, but it is an extremely explosive weapon, up there with the power of the Uranium 235 atom.

If you can understand yourself and your relations with others, you can understand a lot about society.

Even if the socialists attained their utopia, their version of what society should be, there will still be labelling within that society, and

where there is labelling, there is war. People still would not get along. There would still be narcissism and corruption and poverty and so on.

Socialize people. That is the focal point of the state's power. Any idiot can criticize the politician. Most do. But you would not dare criticize your best friend or neighbour. You can live with the government not liking you, but can you live with your best friend not liking you?

People wonder why people can be so devoted to religion. But why are so many people devoted to Manchester United or The New England Patriots? It is the same principle.

This is one place I would disagree with Noam Chomsky and he is one of my idols. He remarked that religion was bad for society. I think that is false and I am an atheist. Society has survived for 2000 years with religion. Thus religion must have played a part in its sustainability and I think it does, because religion emphasizes the family unit as the means for the individual to be happy, which is beneficial for society.

If everyone in the whole world died, except you, you would finally be free. We are imprisoned by our interpersonal relations, because we cannot survive without money. It is an extrovert's world. We are all sort of politicians in ways.

That the middle class are all dependent on each other from an economic sense means they are all nice to each other and cordial. Only if we were all wealthy would we truly know what people think of us.

You have to stop the obsession with being married. When you do that you cease trying to be liked. When you do that you become free.

In a society where cancelling becomes the norm, that society will be still-born. What people will learn to counter cancelling is to avoid everyone. They will become schizoid-ized. Which is not good for society.

The truth is that the prisoner serving a life sentence has more courage and freedom than anyone in the middle class. Out of everyone, it is the middle class that has it the worst.

“Civilization has been a continuous struggle of the individual or of groups of individuals against the State and even against "society," that is, against the majority subdued and hypnotized by the State and State worship.” - Emma Goldman

So much pain is brought to life by trying to make society better. The Nazis, the Bolsheviks, Mao, Pol Pot, all tried to make their countries better, which only made them worse.

The only good society is no society.

Poverty and morality are mutually exclusive. An empty stomach corrupts all.

Cancel culture could lead to the schizoid-ization of society. Hypothetically if we started cancelling everyone that made a single mistake, society would regress. For starters, parents would remove their children from the educational system and would probably encourage them to avoid others. I can understand the need to cancel someone who is a sex offender like Harvey Weinstein, which is good. But cancelling some adult for some homophobic comment



they made when they were ten years old, is not good for society. How else do children learn to behave within society? They make mistakes and try not to make them again.

As long as people are fighting over petty things like whose football team is better, society will stay immature.

The crucial part of what Emma Goldman called economic subjugation, is to deprive people of time. They cannot think if they have no time to think. They are so busy working and raising children that they do not have any time to reflect on what they are doing. You cannot understand the mechanism by which the powers that be take advantage of you, if you are absorbed "in living." One only has as much freedom as they have knowledge; one only has as much liberty as they have the courage to think differently. Thus the system makes people smart enough to do their jobs, so that they can contribute to their family, but are too ignorant to realize anything else. "Freedom is always and exclusively freedom for the one who thinks differently." - Rosa Luxemburg.

One person out of 10,000 people might be mature. Such terrible odds, but one is still immeasurably better than zero.

The sex offender is shunned. But the person who doesn't go to a wedding is also shunned by those who invited him. The same result from two radically different things. When you can understand the latter part, you can understand what you do in life.

Can you have a society without the governed and the governor, where we all live in harmony, in this sort of socialist bliss? I don't think you can. The friction that is the result of people knowing each other kills the socialist ethic.

War is all around us. In the bacterial level, in the serengeti, in society.

Society has progressed rapidly since two hundred years ago and will continue to progress. But it will never be perfect and that is what one must understand. A “perfect society” is an oxymoron. It is always in a state of progression. In two hundred years time, that society will be better than the one presently. But i can guarantee you that even in two hundred years time, people will still be committing suicide.

There is a biological aspect as to who is Right leaning and who is Left leaning. Thus society will never be 100% Right nor a 100% Left. And because that will never happen, the tension in society, the aggravation will be eternal. There is no capitalist utopia or socialist utopia. The end result is an endless civil war between the Right and Left. Mark my words, in a million years, this war will still be fought.

Society is a severe case of Either/Or: “Either” you do this, “Or” else I will do that in response.

I don't know which is worse, being a criminal or being a saint. The criminal is shunned. But the saint must perpetually worry about being shunned.

You cannot have happiness and society thriving. What I mean is that you cannot have a functioning society with every single person in that society happy.

It is Nash Equilibrium on an interpersonal level. Our behaviour is governed by what others will do, if we choose a certain way. “If I don’t go to that person's party, how will they react in labelling me?” That exact mentality dominates our day to day life. “I will say hello

to you, **if** you say hello to me.” “I will wish you a happy birthday, **if** you wish me a happy birthday.” “I will go to your fathers funeral, **if** you go to my fathers funeral.” And so on.

That the 99% don't earn enough to retire early, they must work for forty years, thus they must pay close attention to their interpersonal relationships with those in their immediate lives. This aids society on the whole, because they, the 99% are all labelling each other and at the same time, trying to avoid being negatively labelled. Thus the enemies in life are as much your peers as they are the 1%.

We have been raised to only associate power with those in charge, such as the politicians and bankers and CEOs etc. But power can also be applied to society on a microscopic level. Those you interact with in your neighbourhood or workplace hold a monopoly over you just as the banker does a country. The only difference is the power dynamics within society are equally shared among its peasants. For example, take the simple mechanics of meeting your next door neighbour. In this case power is at play between both of you. It is a form of Nash Equilibrium, where you are both determining each other's responses. You validate your neighbour; he or she validates you. Just as the rulers make the rules to benefit themselves, you and your neighbour interact with each other to benefit each other.

“When people are being beaten with a stick, they are not much happier if it's called the people's stick.” - Mikhail Bakunin.

We are micromanaged by our peers, as they are us. That is why we tell everyone when we are in love, but keep silent about our illicit affairs.

If we were all blind, life would in ways be simpler. It would be more difficult in lots of other ways. But if you were born blind, you would

not worry about what people thought of you when they saw you. It is how people look at us that determines us.

The more known you are, the more regulated you are. The Hollywood hitman has to operate in the shadows. He has to use fake identities and so on, like the character in *The Day of the Jackal*. Because once known, the game is up.

You should not be following anyone or anything. Be more than an ideology. Be your own ideology. If you don't have the courage to lead yourself, you will more than likely be misled by someone or something else.

We are under severe pressure to be the best we can be. A man must be the perfect husband, and woman, the perfect wife. The man must be the perfect son, and the woman, the perfect daughter. And so on. We don't really become cognizant of this until we are old.

“The cost of sanity in this society, is a certain level of alienation” - Terence McKenna

You are not sending your children to school to be geniuses. You are sending them to school to become sheep.

When you live a predictable life, you do not notice your servitude. “Those who do not move, do not notice their chains.” - Rosa Luxemburg.

We know too many people. That is a huge part of the problem.

We should always be striving to improve society, but also be aware that perfection is not attainable. In a million years society will still be imperfect and flawed. We are not going to eradicate every perceived ill.

It is true what they say, society asks you questions, and if you don't answer yourself, society answers for you. We become our culture.

As long as you have people, you will have the people's stick, be it a country or an office.

In capitalist countries people commit suicide because their neighbour does not like them. We generally only associate brutality with authoritarian countries. But the society in supposedly free countries is also functioning on its own type of brutality.

People are so insecure because of the economic system. They have bills to pay and debt obligations to meet, thus they have to work non stop. Thus they don't have the time to self-analyse and self-reflect. To really mature, you have to spend time alone and look inwards.

We are chasing approval, whether it is from the neighbour or the world.

Conformity is deviancy in reverse. Conformity is the opposite to the deviant in terms of labelling. That is a huge part of why so many conform, for they are trying to distance themselves from deviancy at all costs.

The system conditions people on what happiness is. This industrialized happiness involves getting married and having two children. Then buying fancy commodities. But I have to emphasize that people are conditioned on this dream life. It is implanted into them when young. Then the stick involves stigma. The person that deviates from the systematic plan is shunned.

There are two types of happiness. One is economic happiness and the other is what I call philosophical happiness. Economic happiness

is when you earn enough money to pay the bills, whilst also maintaining your interpersonal relationships with others. When you have money and people like you, you call that your happiness. Philosophical happiness is when you retreat to some barren place in the forest and live off the land. In this type of happiness you don't have to worry about paying bills nor are you burdened by what people think of you.

If the owner or CEO of the company you work for decides to fire you, you despise him. But likewise, if your neighbour shuns you, you also come to despise him. The aggravation is not just against those that own the means of production, but also your comrades you live beside or work with. That alone is a huge reason why the socialist utopia is not feasible, for most people would eventually turn on each other if they lived long enough.

There is no such thing as a healthy society because society by default can never be perfect. But societies that you would take a chance at living in tend to allow everyone to have a voice, no matter how idiosyncratic that voice may be.

The ferociousness of stigma and shunning by the female of society has no equal. Most slut-shaming actually comes from women. The hatred of escorts comes from women. But ultimately this plays a very good role in society.

“I have found that, to make a contented slave, it is necessary to make a thoughtless one. It is necessary to darken his moral and mental vision, and, as far as possible, to annihilate the power of reason.” - Frederick Douglas

You are either a friend to all or a friend to none; there can be no inbetween.

It is through our excessive fear of being disliked, that we are controlled. It is through this mechanism that the state profits. Servicing our interpersonal relationships, making sure everyone approves of us, is like an addiction in ways.

People characterize you as a good person only insofar as to how you can benefit them. When you stop benefiting them, you stop being a good person in their eyes.

For some reason, the female of society is vastly more sociological than the male of society. For example, take a relationship. Sociology dominates the female decision process. In an ideal world she would marry a man that other women desperately want. If other women love him, then she will love him. But if that other love from women vanishes, so too will hers. Then look at crime. Why is it male dominated? The traditional answer is that men are crueler. No it is as much the fact that women are so sociological that they want to do the correct thing at all times. It is this reason that you also have fewer female geniuses than male geniuses, because just as more men commit crime, more men go against society in a positive way and become geniuses. I am taking a calculated guess, but if the female crime rate increases, you will at the same time see an increase in female genius.

The threat of being shunned in free societies serves the same purpose as the threat of being sent to a concentration camp in the authoritarian society. Even free societies have dictator-like elements to them. A sort of entry-level Stalinism, if you can call it that.

The fear of being shunned masquerades itself as being very sociable. We are afraid of not being liked, so we meet up and validate people, who in turn validate us. Why do we stop and chat to someone we know? We do it because we desire to maintain a positive label from them. We have worked a long hard week and then have to attend a

wedding, halfway across the country, we were invited to, even though we don't really want to go. Why do we go though? For the same reasons I have just alluded to. If we don't go to the said wedding, those who invited us won't like us. This sociological dynamic is vital for the economic system, because so much of our big choices in life are done with society and its validation in mind. When pundits talk about how the minority have turned the majority against each other, this is precisely the mechanism with how they the minority do it. The majority are governed by “being a nice person” at all times. It makes their lives cumbersome, but ultimately it is in place to placate the minority.

What is an imprisonment, the middle class perceives as freedom. Getting married and having two children is from their perspective, how you become happy, when in reality it is part of their regulation.

Normality is its own type of violence. We only associate violence with protests or murder or war. But the peaceful neighbourhood or the place you work, because of interactions, possesses its own violence. But it is subtle, invisible and not recognized. Wherever you have two or more humans interacting, you have psychological violence. When you walk up a city street and pass by a person you don't know, that is violent. When you see someone you do know and stop and chat to them, that is violent.

The dynamics of the educational system, the workplace and the neighbourhood are all the same. All three are actually modes of institutionalization of the individual.

A great deal of our time goes into servicing our interpersonal relationships. Our interpersonal relationships are like debt, that we spend our lives trying to clear..

The way to make a slave is to make a happy one.



You cannot discount the influence women have over society, particularly in the West. Without that influence there would be carnage.

Women are quicker to ostracize than men and for very little. Why such a difference in genders? I think so because it is good for society. It regulates society.

If the system is built on fear, as in if it is fear that makes the citizens of that country toe the line, then that is not a good system and probably won't last too long. The way to do it, is to give the illusion of freedom and that is what democracy excels at. In the authoritarian country, the people are not free and they know it. In democracy, they also are not free, but don't know it.

If you think of the sociological equilibrium of a busy shopping center. Everyone just minds their own business. They go into and exit from shops. They walk here and there. Some go into the shopping center and some leave. And so on. Now what happens to the individual that disturbs that social equilibrium? He either is ostracized, arrested or sectioned, or perhaps all three.

Democracy is not the best system. It is not even the second best system. The best system is humans living by themselves alone in the woods. But society collapses under that system. The second best system is a dictatorship whereby the ruler is moralistic and altruistic. But it is extremely hard not to be corrupted in some way by that power. Thus we come to democracy.

The problem is you are either following someone or else you want others to follow you. You really have to just concentrate on yourself. I don't know whether it is lack of time, or lack of intelligence or perhaps lack of courage or all three, but we listen and

watch and read what other people say or do, and we adopt it as our own.

Freedom, as Orwell suggested, is being able to tell people what they don't want to hear. In any freedom, everyone has the right to be offended. You are heading down a slippery slope when you start saying that person or that group is immune to offence.

The economic system is the heartbeat of our insecurities.

The opposite to being liked is not being disliked, it is freedom.

Those that are most afraid of being shunned by someone, are the most easily corrupted by the system.

The material man is beneficial to the economic system, much more so than the mature non-material man. The material man needs the family unit to impress people, he needs a good job to impress people, he needs to buy expensive commodities to impress people and so on.

Where there is the group, there is corruption, no matter if it is just a small to medium enterprise or a country. Where you have the group, one person assumes the role of leader and takes advantage of those below him or her. It doesn't matter if it is Right Wing or Left Wing. A good example is the Black Lives Matter in America where one of the leaders of it made herself rich at the expense of the majority. Thus in my version of anarchism, you have to be alone. You cannot be part of any group.

What is the common denominator between education, work and urbanization? The answer is people. When you are amidst people, everyone in the group governs each other. At the bare minimum, one tries to avoid being gossiped or slandered. How does one

accomplish this? By conforming which leads to approval. Thus the only revolution that will bring about change is solitude. A revolution involving the herd brings about no change except the ownership of power. If we all just retreated to our home and lived alone, that would bring about change in society. But few can follow such a path.

Fame is a terrible price to pay for anything. Fame is the waste product in the search for truth.

Men are selecting women who are physically attractive. But women are selecting men who are successful. Thus men try to attain positions of wealth and power. This is a huge driver of capitalism.

I recall reading a question that was put to a professor of physics: What laws of physics that we presently use, will still be used in 10,000 years time? As in what laws that we presently use will be unchanged in 10,000 years time? He said the laws of Thermodynamics. If you asked me that question as a sociologist, what would I say? I would say in 10,000 years time society will still not be perfect. In fact I would wager that society will never be perfect. That is the problem with some lawmakers or whoever. They say: "If we decriminalize this or if we ban this, then we will make society perfect!" Nonsense. It will never be perfect. You can make it better, but perfection is not attainable.

As long as you have society, you need a small minority to govern that society, either through being elected or through seizing power. Thus the problem ultimately is society. Don't focus on those that rule you. That is what you have been conditioned to aim your vitriol at. Instead focus on the majority of people that interact with each other. They are the true source of your angst.

Society is at war with itself and always has been. That is why it survives. But when society is engaged in war with itself, it takes the focus off the bankers, the CEO's, the politicians etc. They are the true people who profit from this internal battle. The solution then suggested by the Marxists is to unite against this tyranny. But that only leads to more internal struggle within society. It just leads to the transfer of power from one group to another, with the status quo remaining unchanged. The only real solution to the capitalist parasites is to break down society. If the capitalists are dependent on society, as society is itself, the solution of no society will surely hurt them. Alas that means the abandonment of the family unit, and therefore any sense of "happiness" one could have in this life. And last I looked we are not immortal.

Being nice comes quite literally with a hefty price tag. You have to go to meetups, parties, weddings, funerals, etc. If you stop trying to be liked by everyone, you might actually save some money for the first time in your life. But the downside is that you will be shunned.

The perfect society does not exist. It is like asking what is north of north-west or what came before the big bang. You just cannot create a perfect society. There was an experiment done with mice called Mouse Utopia. They basically tried to create the perfect society of mice where they had unlimited access to food, they had shelter and were warm. To cut a long story short, it failed miserably. The society of mice became worse than when they had to survive in the real world without the luxuries. Look at the children of extremely wealthy parents. These children have everything in abundance. But are they happy? No they are not.

Great efforts go into depriving the child of solitude, either through education, urbanization and parenthood, because they know that socialization grooms children to behave a certain way. Regardless of whether it is extreme right wing or extreme left wing, society

sustains, chiefly because of the group. Put people together, that is all you have to do to corrupt them.

The opposite to being ostracized for committing a crime, is not to be a law abiding citizen, but to get married and have children. The individual despairs at the thought of being deviant and this drives them to conform, because that is how they are positively labelled.

That is the narcissism of people; they want more to live for other than themselves.

Blind obsessive idolization of an individual or group or sect, is most dangerous, and also a distinct sign of immaturity and unintelligence.

The common man has no interest in the mystery of existence and that is why he is a common man.

Those that refuse to conform are treated like deviants.

Those that are extremely herd pleasing, tend to struggle in certain aspects of life, such as work and relationships.

Nobody is born narcissistic or material or prejudiced. They are raised by their culture to be so.

The state distracts us by making us gratification addicts. We are utterly drugged to attain happiness at all costs. Thus to become grateful means to defy the state.

Children can be so cruel and that is vital for the economic system.

People wonder how Christianity took off. But look at the blind obsession with Jordan Peterson or Cristiano Ronaldo or Freddie Mercury when he was alive. It is the same principle, just a different

age. And for me, if you are idolizing people other than yourself, you are not mature.

It is all marketing with the dream life and with good marketing you can sell ice to the eskimos.

# The State

Even in democratic countries, the state is still on a lower level controlling the information relayed to the public.

The propaganda extolled by the state centers around two main elements: work and love. The individual is told that there is nothing better than working all your life, so that you can afford all these extravagant commodities, of which I would include love in that. Then they are also told by the system that there is one person out there for them, that will complete them and make their lives whole.

The huge multinational corporation that employs forty thousand people worldwide is in itself an oppressive regime, run similarly to how a dictator would run a country. Where you have people, you generally have a small minority making the rules, usually to benefit themselves. Where you have an economic system, you have some form of oppression.

The state can condition you to love what it wants you to love and hate what it wants you to hate.

What the economic system does is promise you your dreams, but only in exchange for your freedom.

You are your economic system.

As the birth rate decreases, the liberty of the individual increases. But the price paid for that is a declining economic system. Thus you cannot have freedom and a prosperous economic system. Prior to the modern capitalistic economic system model that is used, people had what I would say is as close to freedom as one can get.

The only people that possess any sense of freedom, the freedom to do what they want and be who they are, are multi millionaires and criminals. Everyone else is shackled. It is capitalism on an interpersonal level, whereby a small minority get to live life on their terms, fueled by the labour of an imprisoned majority.

Society gets a fever when you try to make it safe and perfect.

Part of the conditioning is that the system imposed on you is marketed as perfect. Whilst simultaneously other systems are flawed. People in China think their country is perfect while the West is flawed. Or people in Muslim countries think their system is perfect as opposed to other systems. You make them buy into one system by making them despise others.

You have to fear the lawmaker as much as the law-breaker. You are at the mercy of the man that makes the laws as much as the man that breaks them. Society focuses on the criminals. It zones in on those that break the law. But about those pulling the strings in power that write the laws? Usually to suit the economic system, which is a nicer way of saying it suits them.

People dismiss these reality tv stars, but these stars are good for society because their fans wish to emulate them, as in to attain wealth and the family unit.

Suggest their dreams and you control them. Decide their future forty years in advance and you own them in the present.

The perfect dictatorship is one where its citizens are dedicated to chasing happiness. 1984 does not work. The people rebel. Brave New World is what any dictator should read.



The laws are set up to benefit the economic system, so that it runs smoothly. Which is a more alluring way of saying it is set up to reward a very small minority. Look at how in the Covid-19 pandemic, those in power were able to magically find billions to pay people who were out of work because of the lockdown. Yet prior to the pandemic, that very same money was nowhere to be found. Why? Why did this money appear? It did because the Covid-19 pandemic hurt the economic system, and if it hurts that, it hurts those in power. Hence, why money suddenly appeared out of thin air. What you have to take from that is that those running the show don't care about anything other than their own self-interests. And as Emma Goldman said a hundred years ago, as long as you have an economic system, you have economic oppression.

Anyone who suggests “this is how you become rich or successful or happy or live the dream life,” is lying through their teeth. Such is the economic system that only a small minority get to reap the rewards. Most people struggle, as in they have to work for 40 years. But you can still be grateful despite the poor odds.

Lets just say a group of anarchists managed to seize power in the UK and they promise revolution. Will they actually bring about what they promise? No they won't. They will just be corrupted by power themselves. If you want your revolution, live in solitude away from society. That is the best anyone can hope for. There is a revolution for you which is feasible. But there is no revolution for all. The economic system through urbanization has long made that impossible.

You have to get it out of your head that money grows on trees, that success grows on trees and that happiness grows on trees. Such is the system, that most people are not meant to be rich, successful and happy. But you can still be grateful. Your renaissance starts with gratitude and never ends.

Just because billions are well adjusted to the system, does not make that system righteous.

People assume they are free and that is why they are not free.

There is such beauty in anonymity.

How does the ruling class maintain its monopoly on society? All it has to do is provide people with enough money to service their debts and feed their children. It is those two things that the masses have been systematically conditioned to believe will lead to happiness.

You are restrained in a straitjacket in a padded cell with no door or window. That is your world in the economic system. That is your reality.

“I have observed this in my experience of slavery,--that whenever my condition was improved, instead of its increasing my contentment, it only increased my desire to be free, and set me to thinking of plans to gain my freedom. I have found that, to make a contented slave, it is necessary to make a thoughtless one. It is necessary to darken his moral and mental vision, and, as far as possible, to annihilate the power of reason. He must be able to detect no inconsistencies in slavery; he must be made to feel that slavery is right; and he can be brought to that only when he ceased to be a man.” -Frederick Douglass

You have grown up under one system that you have no frame of reference to compare to. You have never even tried any other system other than the current one. Perhaps you cannot try any other one for financial reasons.

The Handmaid's Tale can be applied to any Western country as much as some radical religious country in the Middle East. The end is the same but the means differs.

In this economic system, money is king. You have to earn money to survive. Essentially money is the food of modern society. It is the ulterior motive. But in order to earn money you have to liaise with people. By doing that you become subordinate to them and they to you. They then can shun you at any stage, in which case this can affect your income.

Every dictator begins his reign with the same words: "I am doing it for the people."

The Romans built the Colosseum, not out of vanity, but chiefly to control its citizens, by keeping them entertained. Modern institutions make use of the same trick through sports or music or films and so on. You distract society and that keeps them subservient.

Your happiness is slavery in a parallel universe.

The state through urbanization and education implants in the mind of the child that he or she will be dead in a hundred years, and thus it is most important to be happy, to make the most of existence. It then through numerous years of conditioning suggests how to become happy. And that is how it maintains control. Control their dreams and you control society.

The blood of socialism is the dream of the perfect society, which will never happen, because the source of so much angst in life is derived from those we march beside.

As soon as the system elects a leader, the system, any system, is doomed.

Either you think for yourself or else the state does it for you.

1) Implant in their minds when young the concept of happiness and that it is vital to attain. 2) Then tell them what this happiness consists of. 3) Then institutionalize them in the system that rewards them with this happiness after 60 years and only when they are close to death. That is what the whole economic system is about. That is what its crankshaft turns on.

The only freedom attainable within the economic system is the freedom to think differently.

No matter the system, most people will still get the short end of the stick. They will still have to work most of their lives, whilst the rich live in luxury. The only thing you can do is change the way you think and it doesn't cost a dime.

One must begin to accept that there is no solution to society. There is no world where every single human has it perfect. Look at capitalist countries where the children of those cultures have it as good as it's going to be. Do they behave like they have it good? No. Many of them are still miserable. There is no socialist or capitalist utopia. At best one can say that one system is better than another. That is as good as it gets under economic subjugation.

It depends on what perspective you see. If Marxism means working on a farm, subsisting on your own produce then it can work. But if Marxism means shipping people off to the suburbs to work in the industrialization of society, then it cannot work. But even with regards the former, the more people, the more problems.

There is nothing as contemptible as the group. To be part of the herd is a declaration of weakness.

As the existentialists contend, you are responsible for what you do. But from a sociological perspective you are also responsible for all the propaganda you choose not to question.

For the good of society, the middle class must quietly despise itself.

We all have to pay a price for existing within society. The upper class individual is envied; the criminal is ostracized; and the middle class individual is condemned to worry about what his peers think of him.

Even if the workers own a company, it will still encounter problems. A company is not much different from a country, just in size, but the same problems apply to the company that apply to the country. When you have a sizable minority in countries, there tends to be at the bare minimum provocation. Thus if you have a company that is self owned and shared by all the employees, what happens when it comes to a decision that is closely contested. For example, imagine that someone wants to buy the company and the employees vote 51% to 49% to not to sell. There could be civil war within the company then, just as there is in countries. I am not trying to side with capitalism here, but socialism is not this perfect solution either. Even if you have socialism in every company in every country in the world, it would not be perfect.

Take Amazon for example. It employs 1.3 million people as I write this. It has factories all over the world. Now lets say hypothetically Amazon is a socialist company whereby the workers each own a part or have a vote in matters. So 1.3 million workers all have a single vote to determine what happens and the majority rules the roost. What happens when Amazon starts losing money and has to fire people and shut down factories somewhere? What happens when you let the employees across the world decide whose factory

gets shut down? The American workers are going to say fire the Europeans; the Europeans are going to say fire the Asians; the Asians will say fire the Africans. You get the point. This socialist utopia only works in small to medium sized companies, and even then they will run into difficulty somewhere along the line as well.

I see a solution proposed by Marxists is to do away with the government and let every single person vote, and whatever the majority decide, that is what will happen. But that won't work either. Let's say we put it to the people to vote on whether abortion should be legal. If there is an overwhelming majority, as in 95% say yes and 5% say no. That works. But what if it is tight? What if 51% vote yes and 49% vote no? What will happen then? There will be a bloody civil war. So really what I am attempting to suggest is that society is not perfect and never will be.

Look at the hatred of escorts in democratic countries. One would think that the "freedom" afforded by democratic institutions would enable escorts not to be shunned and stigmatized. But even in these cultures they are despised. Society hates escorts because they are conditioned to hate them by the state. Thus the state is in control.

The perfect society is the enemy of a healthy society.

Socialism always gravitates to basic capitalism. But I don't think the reverse is true.

We use freedom to destroy freedom.

The middle class, to borrow from Thoreau, live lives of quiet desperation.

For example, take two people: John and Sarah. John owns a pub and Sarah owns a shop. When they meet they have to greet each other

and be polite to each other. If John ignores Sarah, then Sarah won't go into his pub and John therefore won't go into Sarah's shop. That is essentially the maze of interpersonal relations that explains the middle class. The middle class are all courteous to each other because they depend on each other. In contrast the lower and upper classes are not subject to the same disciplinarian method because lower classes are on benefits, which they always get, and the upper class usually have millions in the bank.

Stop having children. That may sound radical, but anything that is routine must first be extreme.

The choice ultimately boils down to servitude or starvation. You either work for a company that controls you much like a dictator would his country or you quit and you starve. So it is really a question of which poison you want to take, cyanide or cyanide? The choice is yours.

Who profits from the psychological civil war within society? Only those in power. Who truly gained from the Russian Revolution? Only the leadership of the Bolsheviks.

Capitalism will always prevail if only because of gender selection. What I mean by this is that the female of society is selecting men that go far in the capitalist system. Men thus are always going to seek to attain wealth and power to become more in demand, just as women seek to be physically attractive.

Mao had his Sparrow. Mao's Sparrow can be seen in so many dictatorships. Hitler had the Jews. Pol Pot had the intellectuals.

All the economic system amounts to is a patient method of transferring wealth from the majority to the minority.

As long as you have a functional society, you will have men and women seeking preferential traits in a partner. Men look for physical beauty in women; women demand men be successful. It is that latter point that is a huge element of the sustainability of capitalism.

The system is not going to grant you your freedom, no matter how they market it. You only get close to having anything called freedom, if you have the intelligence and courage to think differently.

The middle class is the heart, the structural foundation of society. They are treated horribly for it though.

The Socialists say capitalism is 100% wrong and the Capitalists assert that socialism is 100% wrong. The reality is both have good and bad traits each.

“The urge to save humanity is almost always only a false-face for the urge to rule it.” - H L Mencken

As long as you have to buy your food in a shop, you can never be free. The way to become liberated is to be self-sufficient and not dependent on the economic system to provide for you.

There is, as Rudolf Rocker remarked, no end goal. There is no state of bliss, where everything is immaculate and perfect. Where every single human lives a life of eternal joy. The best you can do is just look after yourself. The best thing you can do for the world is be grateful.

Freedom is the ability to see the world from a different perspective as to how most see it. As the saying goes, you see things as you are, not as they actually are. And what are you!



In China they are slaves to communism; in America they are slaves to capitalism. The only difference is the latter are more entitled slaves.

A huge difference between western countries and authoritarian countries is that in western countries women have as much power as men.

The greater the quality of life of a country, the more competitive the people in that country become. The more prosperous a country is, the crueler its children are.

When you really examine it, the whole toxic incel culture that so many naive young men get hooked on is derived from the economic system. It is a product of the system that essentially says you have to be having sex in order to be happy.

The problem with our social media generation, is that they all want to be famous to be happy, which is very damaging.

Mao thought he was making China better by killing the Sparrows. Instead he caused the largest known famine in history that killed millions. The moral is don't try to perfect society.

The socialist utopia does not work. Even if a country implements a socialist utopia, its natural inclination is to gravitate to the free market and hence capitalist or at least democratic socialism/capitalism. But let's say for argument's sake it avoids this, then somewhere down the line there would be civil war, be it an actual corporation or country. There is no society that is perfect, where there is no wealth inequality, poverty, hunger, disease, crime, authoritarianism. As I have already stated, the only good society is no society.

If one implemented the true socialist doctrine on America, in twenty years it would have splintered into four or five different countries.

“In modern capitalism economic exploitation rather than political oppression is the real enemy of the people.” - Emma Goldman

Take chess for example. In my opinion you could take any child, male or female, rich or poor, heterosexual or homosexual, if you trained them from a very young age, they would excel at chess by the time they were 18 or 19.

The general population does not care about what is happening and doesn't even care that they don't care. Modern humans are their screens; television or computer, it doesn't matter. What they learn from these screens becomes their philosophy.

People are blind. They cannot see the influence education and urbanization has had on them, on why they are obsessed with the family unit and material gain.

“School is the advertising agency which makes you believe that you need the society as it is.” - Ivan Illich

When you make a mistake when young, the parent or the teacher gives out to you. What does that do to the child? It makes them afraid of making mistakes. But you cannot be afraid of making mistakes and be successful.

“School has become the world religion of a modernized proletariat, and makes futile promises of salvation to the poor of the technological age.” - Ivan Illich

The graduates of our schools and colleges display great gratitude to their education, when it is their education that they are dumb, ignorant and will have to work for half their lives.

A drugged up, dumbed down individual, is easily manipulated.

If you never educate a child, if you don't teach him to read and write or speak, he does not mature into what we call a normal adult. You read about stories of young children being raised by a pack of wolves and how do these children behave? They behave like wolves. Thus when someone says we are not a social construct, you have to dismiss them.

I am being facetious and sarcastic, but the only way to dismantle the affluence of the 1% is to dismantle the whole of society. In other words, don't have children. Or if you do have them, kill them.

When you can turn down a million dollars, that's the kind of freedom you want.

A wage slave is no less a slave.

If we were all mature and grateful, devoid of spite and resentment, then socialism might stand a chance. But the reality is we are not. In the real world people are childish, immature and cruel. Thus as long as you have narcissism in one in ten people, the socialist utopia will never happen

“The most erroneous assumption is to the effect that the aim of public education is to fill the young of the species with knowledge and awaken their intelligence, and so make them fit to discharge the duties of citizenship in an enlightened and independent manner. Nothing could be further from the truth. The aim of public education is not to spread enlightenment at all; it is simply to reduce as many

individuals as possible to the same safe level, to breed and train a standardized citizenry, to put down dissent and originality. That is its aim in the United States, whatever the pretensions of politicians, pedagogues and other such mountebanks, and that is its aim everywhere else.” - H.L. Mencken

You are educated to benefit the economic system, which means you are educated to benefit the 1%. In education all the children start brainwashing each other on the dream life as the means to attain happiness. Thus the young individual graduates from school, gets a job and makes money, which lines the coffers of the capitalists. Then to add insult to injury, that young individual starts a family, and his or her children continue the cycle. And so the economic system soldiers on.

You don't get an education and you are dumb. But you do get an education and you are also dumb. The only difference is you are a richer dumb person, but not by much. This is precisely why most people don't become geniuses or retire at 25, because education teaches them “everything,” but true education is to teach yourself. They have no individuality at all. They are so shackled by the system that they lack creativity. So what is the point of education at all, you may ask? Well Noam Chomsky said it, as have others. Education is designed to engineer you in such a way that you will contribute to the economic system, so that a very small minority will profit. Specifically, you must work for forty years, so the person at the top can retire at the age of 25.

“As long as some specialized class is in a position of authority, it is going to set policy in the special interests that it serves. But the conditions of survival, let alone justice, require rational social planning in the interests of the community as a whole and, by now, that means the global community. The question is whether privileged elites should dominate mass-communication, and should

use this power as they tell us they must, namely, to impose necessary illusions, manipulate and deceive the stupid majority, and remove them from the public arena. The question, in brief, is whether democracy and freedom are values to be preserved or threats to be avoided. In this possibly terminal phase of human existence, democracy and freedom are more than values to be treasured, they may well be essential to survival.” - Noam Chomsky

Any socialist revolution reminds me of criminal gangs. Young criminals build an empire worth billions selling illicit drugs. They revel in their wealth and power. But eventually somewhere along the way, they turn on each other, and destroy each other and the empire shatters. The history of socialist revolutions mirrors this concept.

The only truly educated man is he who questions the educational system.

Anything worth knowing can only be discovered from within.

For most, the economic system gets to them first before wisdom can.

The children that education advertises as its golden employees are the ones that get the best marks without making mistakes. That is precisely the same reason why they will remain nobodies for the rest of their lives. In order to become an entrepreneur, you have to make mistakes and a lot of them.

“School has become the world religion of a modernized proletariat, and makes futile promises of salvation to the poor of the technological age.” - Ivan Illich

Society is absolutely insane, but because we are so conditioned to it, we perceive it as normal.

The crucial aspect of democracy and why it is so popular, is because its slaves are fully convinced they are free and have it better than those in other systems.

Society has survived pandemics, famines, wars etc. It is very resolute. So don't go trying to make it immaculate.

As I said earlier, we are only as happy as what we dream about. This applies to society. We envisage a perfect society where there are no problems. Where every citizen has it good. Such a thing does not exist. You cannot change society; the only revolution is your own one.

“If you took the most ardent revolutionary, vested him in absolute power, within a year he would be worse than the Tsar himself.” - Mikhail Bakunin

Authoritarianism is not just political or state run. So many corporations are run by authoritarian means. The person at the top tells three people below him what to do, who in turn relay the order to 50 people below them. Then job security is non-existent that people can be fired at the whim of the man in charge. This is really no better than Stalinism and this type of bureaucracy occurring in capitalist countries.

Lower the birth rate by refusing to have children. Then they will beg. In any revolution, sacrifice is unconditional.

We live in such an altruistic, caring and loving society, that people will condemn you for one mistake.

The middle class really is so idiosyncratic. They all resent each other, but remain polite to each other, because they are all dependent on each other.

Society and wealth are intrinsically linked, because there is no wealth without society. You have to know people, have them positively label you, in order for them to employ you or buy your product and so on. Thus if you are not positively labelled, you cannot attain wealth. This really is why we are all nice people, because we are all parasites, sucking each other's blood, making each other successful and so on. That is why the boss at some company who has been there for 30 years is not a nice man, for he does not need to be. He is not dependent on others to approve of him in order to live a good life. The freer a man, the less likely he is to be favourable.

If you cannot even master yourself, how can you be the master of others?

Crime drives people to conform, to benefit society, because the opposite of the criminal is not the law-abiding individual, but the person that marries and has two children.

“Laws! We know what they are, and what they are worth! Spider webs for the rich and powerful, steel chains for the weak and poor, fishing nets in the hands of the government.”- Pierre-Joseph Proudhon

The lower and middle classes must see the beauty in the struggle. That is the key, to possess gratitude despite what life throws at you. If they wait until they are retired to acknowledge existence, they will have thrown most of their lives down the toilet.

We essentially live in a dystopian utopia. People are so well adjusted to the system that they cannot perceive their imprisonment. Society is profoundly sick. But we don't notice it. We have come to love our captivity.

In the Soviet Union under Stalin, the oppression presented itself as brutality and torture. In America the oppression manifests itself as the obsession with material gain.

“There will be in the next generation or so a pharmacological method of making people love their servitude and producing dictatorship without tears, so to speak, producing a kind of painless concentration camp for entire societies so that people will in fact have their liberties taken away from them but will rather enjoy it.” - Aldous Huxley

A lot of dictators have good intentions, but they are deceived by their lust for perfection. You cannot create the perfect society full stop. But the idealists don't realize this. Thus they resort to violence on their own people to attempt to fulfill this. All they end up doing is creating a worse society than there initially was.

The production owners profit greatly from the conflict and competition within the workers. The psychological brutality within the workers, makes them all work and start families, which aids the capitalist owners. The owners don't have to force the workers to bend to their will; the workers do it to each other, through labelling. The savagery amongst the proletariat has no equal.

The innocents of life must worry habitually about what their peers think of them. In some ways, we are no better than unconvicted criminals that worry about being arrested for what they did. We live in a perpetual state of anxiety about whether people approve of us. In ways we are criminals not yet caught.



You have to get it into your head that the revolution will not work. It will not change anything, because the only bloody thing you can change in this system is yourself.

I think we have gone past the point of no return with regards to revolution. The time to do it was prior to the industrial revolution and prevent it. There are simply too many people now.

The Man in the Iron Mask, the book by Dumas is a very good example of how society corrupts. The king who has had all the power since youth is cruel, narcissistic, vindictive, materialistic and so on. Then his twin brother who has been imprisoned for his whole life, wearing the mask, is the opposite. He is mature, if not grateful and lacking resentment, despite his condition. The moral is, as I have said repeatedly, invite solitude into your life and you can mature.

Every single state is just a mechanism for a small minority to exploit the majority.

The middle class is where stigma is targeted. The criminal is stigmatized and the multi millionaire doesn't have to care what people think of him. It is the middle class where the threat of stigma takes hold. It is the middle class that regulates itself through stigma.

The modern symptom of economic subjugation is the amount of value one places on marriage and work. For such people their existence tends to disintegrate if they fail to adhere to those two things. A sort of capitalistic melancholia overtakes their mind. That is how much we are slaves to the system.

People are free to do what they are instructed to do.

“If voting changed anything, they'd make it illegal.” - Emma Goldman

The middle class makes itself weak because the middle class is dependent on the middle class for its functionality. People in an office for example, must work together. They are each dependent on each other for the system to sustain itself. Or someone that owns a business must be polite to everyone in the town or neighbourhood, chiefly because he depends on them, as do they depend on him, for his business to turn a profit. Then the person that goes against the middle class herd gets ostracized. He loses his job or has to change jobs. And don't think the 1% don't know this. They know it well. They know that middle class regulation on itself is a product of the economic system as it is. Ergo through education, urbanization and work, the middle class beats itself with its middle class stick.

Freedom isn't being financially secure by 25. It is not growing up in a western country. Freedom is thinking differently from how most people generally think.

If you cannot criticize something without being ridiculed or laughed at or suggested to be sectioned, it's usually because that something benefits the state. If I criticized love in western democratic countries, I would be laughed at. If I suggested that love in these countries is actually the means for those who hold the power in those countries to retain it, the people in those countries would hold me in contempt.

The beauty of the state oppression in democratic countries is that people are convinced they are free. The only difference between China and Western countries is who does the regulation. In China it is the state; in Western countries it is society.

When your freedom is instantaneously taken away you respond. But what do you do when that very same freedom is eroded over the course of years?

The state exerts its power over society, and then society does it to itself, over what we choose to stigmatize and what we choose not to stigmatize. By controlling what is stigmatized and what isn't, the state directs society in a particular way.

The brutality of western countries manifests itself as wanting to live the dream life.

Frederick Bastiat: 'Everyone wants to live at the expense of the state. They forget that the state lives at the expense of everyone.'

People are fanatical about love as a German citizen was of Adolf Hitler in the 1930's. And just like the conditioning of the German people during that time, in the present world people are also conditioned.

As long as you have an economic system, you will have those in power, manipulating it so that they are the chief beneficiaries.

The middle class is essentially an insane asylum run by the inmates.

"The greatest challenge of the day is: how to bring about a revolution of the heart, a revolution which has to start with each one of us?" - Dorothy Day

You can always tell the weak and immature through two things: One, they have heroes they are obsessed with; Two, they agree with absolutely everything their heroes say.

What you do for society, you really do for the state, because it is the state that determines society.

The weakest class is undoubtedly the middle class and within that class the weakest subset of it is the nice people of the middle class.

The middle class are slaves to each other. That is how they are regulated. The person that deviates from the middle class tapestry gets shunned by the middle class themselves. If you don't say hello to one of your neighbours, you get shunned. If you don't go to someone's wedding you were invited to, you get shunned.

“A man is no less a slave because he is allowed to choose a new master once in a term of years.” - Lysander Spooner

There is a great war taking place in a peaceful society. The uneducated and naive call this reality: freedom. When really there is a battle in operation. How does this battle, this friction and tension, this war, manifest itself? It does through everyone behaving in a certain way. So you drive your car on the correct side of the road, you chat to your neighbour when you see him, you turn up on time for work and behave in the correct manner. We call it normality or living, when really it is proxy war.

We cannot all be multi-millionaires. If everyone was worth a 100 million dollars, then the price of a box of corn flakes would be 3,000 dollars. Thus your 100 million dollars, in this fictitious world, would not buy what it buys in the real world. But here is the fundamental thing, you still can be happy.

Where there is a group, be it the classroom, the office, the neighbourhood, the night club on a Saturday night, social media, where you do your shopping, that is where you are oppressed and

conditioned. If you want to charter your own course, you have to minimize being known.

“The unexamined life is not worth living,” - Socrates

Education's purpose isn't for you to make lots of money; its purpose is for you to make lots of money for someone else.

It does not matter what system or country it is. The enslavement by those in charge is always called: “Living life to the fullest.” Such is the dream life in western societies, that you have the illusory freedom of choice, and not much freedom after choice.

Anywhere you have an economic system that rewards the few, you have in tandem psychological conditioning of the majority.

What is an enormous, if not life and death struggle for the middle class, is a game to the rich.

We don't believe what is true; we believe what we have been conditioned to believe is true.

The economic system is basically one macro scale pyramid scheme where the majority at the bottom slave away to benefit the few at the top.

When the government oppresses you, you revolt. But when it is your best friend, you kneel down to be humiliated with a smile on your face.

That is the almost sordid wickedness of democratic institutions, whereby society regulates you. At least in authoritarian cultures, it is not your best friend that is beating you with the stick.

If politicians could actually fulfill their promises and bring about any kind of change, they would not be called politicians.

The group element of socialism is its profound flaw and why the socialist utopia will never be realized.

If you cannot criticize something without being exposed to ridicule or even cancelling, it means that something is vital to the state's power over the people.

The power of consumerism is that it makes us buy things we don't really want or even like. But it also makes us live life a certain way, one which we don't really want and often don't even like. The consumerism of life is that we live for approval from people we don't really care about. We are just so institutionalized by the system, that we are no better than the insane inmates in the psychiatric hospital.

Democratic societies are really becoming more authoritarian. For some, if someone else says something they don't agree with, they want that person taken outside and shot in the head. Look at actual societies where that happened: Germany under the Nazis, the Soviet Union under Stalin, Cambodia under Pol Pot etc. I keep saying it, as have others, in a healthy society, the person you despise has a voice and is a multi-millionaire.

“Every society has the criminals it deserves,” said Emma Goldman, which is very true. But if a society has no criminals, alarm bells should be going off. A society with no criminals is either a communist dictatorship or a fascist dictatorship. The freedom the criminal has to commit his crime is the same freedom you have to make a good life for yourself. Deprive the criminal of his freedom and you deprive everyone of their freedom. A society with no criminals is not a society.

When I said society exerts enormous influence over people and why they are unhappy, I was lauded. But when I said a product of that influence is one's infatuation with love, I was heavily criticized. It just goes to show how sociologically conditioned people are on love. The reason love is perceived as beautiful and righteous, is only because the state approves of it.

The capitalists say do it for the money, and yet your boss or coworkers don't care about you. You are only an instrument to further their interests. But the socialists are no better. They say do it for your community. A community that will not appreciate you, take advantage of you and forget about you and all you did for it, two days after you die.

The individual that has escaped their economic subjugation usually isn't a very nice person. Thus one of the symptoms of an enslaved society is everyone is nice to each other. It is counter-intuitive: How does the violence and enslavement manifest itself in modern society amongst the middle class? It does so through everyone in the middle-class being nice to each other.

In Ireland you have an association called the GAA. They play two main sports games: Hurling and Gaelic Football. A bit like soccer or American Football, but the GAA is only in Ireland. One striking difference between soccer and the GAA is the players that play the GAA are not paid. As in, this is an amateur sport. The very best GAA players may win the biggest competition available but have an actual job separate from the GAA. Like an accountant or doctor. It is fascinating from a sociological perspective how this actually works. And it just goes to show that you can condition a population to behave a certain way. With the GAA you do it for your community. From a young age, children are essentially institutionalized in GAA culture where they are told that there is nothing better than

sacrificing your time for the local community as a GAA player. It is the exact same propaganda that the communists use. That there is nothing better than your service to your fatherland. But the GAA as I say is in Ireland, a western democratic country. Yet they are able to brainwash kids so that they will become fanatical about the GAA. So if they can do that in Ireland, imagine what they can do in other countries.

There is a level of difficulty associated with brainwashing one person. But to brainwash a whole country is simple. The more people that are doing something, the more other people will do that same thing. This is essentially what the economic system does. It conditions whole nations, just as the Nazi's did to the German people. I go back to the GAA in Ireland. 99.999 percent of GAA players get nothing out of the GAA. The only people who benefit from the GAA are those in power at the top making the rules, like the President or the Director General. This is exactly like the Soviet Union under Stalin. But I repeat, this is Ireland, a westernized country that is intelligent. It just goes to show how easy it is to condition a whole country.

The GAA cannot survive without the institutionalization and inculcation of children on GAA culture. The children then grow up to view the GAA as the most magnificent thing in the world. The same principles apply to capitalism or fascism or authoritarianism, in that the success of these systems hinges on conditioning children in those retrospective systems.

Then with the GAA, the person that quits, gets shunned by the community.

People talk about the invention of antibiotics or the Haber-Bosch process or the birth control pill, as the greatest achievements of humankind. But one ignores the beauty of the sociological



conditioning that makes men and women have children. From a sociological point of view it is ingenious. Such people are so conditioned that they are prepared to live a life of stress, despair and suffering, and to do all that with a smile on their faces, and to be almost grateful for the opportunity. This is just poetic.

Where you have the group you have corruption. No matter what system. Anarchism, Facism, Marxism, Capitalism, Social democracy etc.

The anarchists are falling into the same trap that the Marxists do. That if we change this or that, that if we get rid of this person and replace him or her with another person, then society will be perfect. It is false. You can only at best make society better, but you cannot make it perfect. If you look at the divorce rate in the USA, it is about 25 percent. So if two people cannot get along, how do you expect 340 million people in the USA to live in harmony?

You are going down a dark dangerous path when you start saying stuff like: "If we get rid of this thing or this person, we will make society better."

There is a contradiction. We want to criticize society for the way we are, yet we all want to find love. Why is it a contradiction? It is because a huge part of the reason we want to find love is because of society. People want to have their cake and eat it too.

Dumb people are geniuses at being dumb.

While you are struggling in life, the economic system is reveling.

If you control the information, you control the people. This is true even in supposedly free democratic countries. If you control the

narrative, you can get the people to behave in such a way that benefits the system and hence benefits those in charge.

Genius is made and not born. The economic system usually gets to children first, before genius can. That is why most men and women are not geniuses. Look at young children's heroes: for the boys it is footballers and for the girls it is the Kardashians. If their hero was Paul Cezanne, that child would become a painter; if their hero was Durkheim, that child would become a sociologist; if their hero was Emily Dickenson, they would become a poet. Instead they are groomed by the economic system to become morbidly average.

You can tell so much about a state by what the people governed by that state are conditioned to fervently dislike. You judge a society on what it hates. And what do the people hate?

It is most difficult. If you are Right leaning, the Left hates you; if you are Left leaning, the Right hates you; and if you are an anarchist, they both hate you.

The birth rate is, from an anarchistic point of view, of extreme importance to the economic system and hence the affluence and power of the 1%.

Any revolution that just changes those in power is no revolution. Has there ever been a revolution in history? Have the majority ever ruled the majority? Is it possible? "Every revolution evaporates to leave behind on the slime of the next bureaucracy." Franz Kafka.

The Russian revolution was not a revolution. It was just a transfer of power from the fascists to the socialists.

The state robs you by deceiving you into believing that it is for your own good.

You judge a man's character on how he would behave in a world of anarchy.

The newspapers are no better than the political parties. If you want to be mature and wise, reading too much will prevent that. "The man who reads nothing at all is better educated than the man who reads nothing but newspapers." Thomas Jefferson.

The upper class have children, because they can afford to; the middle and lower classes have children, because they cannot afford not to.

The success of democratic economic conditioning lies in the fact that people in these countries or cultures do not realize they have been conditioned.

Where you have the group or herd, someone takes charge of that group, promising to work for the group's interests, but usually they profit at the expense of the group. It does not matter what the political system is, this is repeated. Thus the best way to have any shred of freedom in life is to avoid the group.

There is nothing more wicked and iniquitous than the expression: "Do it for the community." That is what the Soviet Union was built upon. If I were going to do something in life, I would rather do it for money than do it for the community, and I am a staunch anti-capitalist.

Look at situations where people have it good. Look for example at school children in upper class neighbourhoods of America, where to enroll a child costs thousands a year. Do the children in those schools all get along with each other? Do they all live in harmony? Is it like heaven on earth, where they are all nice and polite to each

other? No they are miserable like much of society. What is my point? My point is that even when people have it good, society is still not perfect. So the system in place will never yield a perfect society. Never.

Children are what the system teaches them to be. No less.

The rhetoric I hear from both capitalists and socialists is: "If I got into power, then I would do it differently. I would govern the right way." No you bloody well wouldn't. Every single politician and dictator says that and they don't make a difference. Society is heavily regulated but so too are those in charge.

The system creates a society of wimps, whereby the individual is afraid to be disliked. This fear controls a lot of our behaviour. Make people weak, that is how the system sustains itself. Thus to have any liberty you must have the intelligence and courage to be different.

The child that is emotionally or physically abused every time they make a mistake, has a higher than normal probability of developing schizoid traits. What Cancel Culture does is it starts applying this to every child and no child is a bulletproof saint. Thus theoretically, a schizoidization of society could occur.

Brutality is synonymous with dictatorship oppression. But brutality is the educational system. It is the neighbourhood. It is the place you work. All three of those things mirror the most inhumane and savage dictators that have ever lived.

You have no freedom, regardless of the system. Only if you are living off the grid do you possess anything what we would call freedom. If you are part of the economic system, you are a slave and always will be. They market life in such a way that they can profit.

In North Korea they are starving. In America they are morbidly obese. Americans have been fattened up with the lard of capitalism and one tends to revere the hand that feeds them. The crucial aspect of capitalism is not money but food. You can keep a society obedient by feeding them.

No matter how they try to spin it, you are the property of the economic system.

The economic system is no less an institution, with its own laws and regulations.

We are so lost in dreaming, to realize we are living in a nightmare. In this world, happiness is the inability to realize you are a slave.

The domesticated animal has been artificially selected to the point that they cannot survive in the wild. They can only thrive in what is essentially a prison. A similar reasoning applies to the modern day man and woman under capitalism.

The stigmatization with regards sex, the shunning of the sex offender and escort, forces men and women to marry to engage in sex, because they are approved by society when they do just that.

A lot of dictatorships start with the words: "If you do it my way, everything will be perfect." But then the dictator's ego gets the better of them, despite their good intentions. The reality is that perfection is not attainable within society.

If you took the most fanatical Marxist, whose hatred of the bourgeoisie is figuratively coming out his ears, and put him in a position of wealth and power, he would within a month metamorphose into a Capitalist. That is the thing. Marxists can

become Capitalists, but you rarely find Capitalists that wish to become Marxists.

If we lived in a reality where every promise a politician made came to fruition, we would be living in a modern utopia.

The revolution does not change anything, except those in power.

You just cannot see it. I did not either. Everything is designed to benefit the economic system.

I am sorry and I am hurting my chances of succeeding, but I have to criticize love. The reason I criticize it is because love is part of power. Specifically the power of those in charge who need a stable birth rate to maintain their power and wealth. So regardless of the country, America, Norway, North Korea, the birth rate is of supreme importance to the leaders of those countries. So they essentially brainwash children when they are young, about how brilliant and how happy they will be once they are in love and have children. The propaganda is everywhere about how you simply have to have the family unit in order to be happy. The Hollywood romance film is essentially a propaganda piece designed to seduce young children Western societies. Then proof of the state bias towards love, is to look at how all the violence is love is simply ignored by the system. Who cares what the femicide rate is? Who the hell even knows what the femicide rate is? And I apologize for being sexist against men and women in previous notes, but all the violence outside of love is amplified in the media. Yet all the violence in love is ignored. This is due to the state controlling the narrative to benefit themselves.

In modern democratic societies, people are grateful for being oppressed. "People will come to love their oppression, to adore the technologies that undo their capacities to think." - Aldous Huxley.

It doesn't matter whether it is ten people or a 100 million people. Where you have the minority in charge over the majority, you have corruption and abuse.

In western countries, the middle class are prisoners to themselves.

People say: "Why can't the North Koreans wake up and rebel against the system?" You don't understand that they are so brainwashed, they don't think they are brainwashed. The same in western cultures. People are so institutionalized by the system, they think they are free. The average joe in western cultures is raised in such a way that by the time they are forty, they will be married with two kids. Then the propaganda espoused is this is all part of freedom, the freedom to choose.

"The real hopeless victims of mental illness are to be found among those who appear to be most normal. "Many of them are normal because they are so well adjusted to our mode of existence, because their human voice has been silenced so early in their lives, that they do not even struggle or suffer or develop symptoms as the neurotic does." They are normal not in what may be called the absolute sense of the word; they are normal only in relation to a profoundly abnormal society. Their perfect adjustment to that abnormal society is a measure of their mental sickness. These millions of abnormally normal people, living without fuss in a society to which, if they were fully human beings, they ought not to be adjusted." - Aldous Huxley

The middle class really has it tough. They are robbed by the upper class and to an extent the lower class on welfare. Then they all regulate each other, which makes them discontent.

We give out about religious extremism in the Middle East and yet you have Christian extremists in Western countries trying to control our sex lives.

Even in idyllic socialism, not the Leninism or Maoism that is associated with Marx, but pure Marxism, where the workers own the means of production, the people in such a workplace or company, would still encounter contempt or conflict, and would eventually turn on each other, such that the system would disintegrate. We see brutality with the one man dictatorships. But with the socialist utopia that every left winger dreams of, I predict you would see civil war.

When you don't have time to think and reflect, you are not going to dare question what the system is telling you.

Even if people did stop having children and lower the birth rate, those in power would adapt to raise the birth rate again. In democratic countries they would offer incentives that men and women would not be able to turn down. Essentially they would dangle a tasty carrot in front of them and nothing motivates as much as money. In the totalitarian countries they would use the stick. They would mass impregnate women. Basically they would rape them, for the economic system.

As long as you have the economic system, nothing will change. Power will just change hands every term as in democracy or brutally under the authoritarian regime. The only antidote to the economic system is to go back to being self-sufficient farmers. Where we look after ourselves devoid of influence from the economic system. But that won't happen anytime soon.

We have very poor insight into what isn't worth it in life. I would speculate that is why the suicide rate is so high. But what is deemed worth it by the system, often isn't worth it either.



When people say one country is better to live in than another, all that means is that they fear their neighbours as much as the government in that supposedly better country.

Even democratic countries or communities have Stalinist elements to them. Multinational corporations mimic Stalinism. Even in the community, you can see Stalinism in operation, in that you can be excluded from the community if you go against it.

The dream life is a misnomer because it is not a dream. It is a product of the middle class regulating itself.

They mask the oppression in western countries and then the propaganda is all about how China, North Korea, some Arab countries and so on, are all totalitarian regimes where the people in those countries have no liberty. But those in western countries are also enslaved, but conditioned by the system to believe they are free. In the dictatorship, the oppression is called oppression. In the democratic countries, that very same oppression is called "living the dream life." One is Orwell and the other is Huxley. In China it is authoritarianism with tears in their eyes; in America it is authoritarianism with smiles on their faces. "The perfect dictatorship would have the appearance of democracy, a prison without walls in which the prisoners would not dream of escape. A system of slavery where, through consumption and entertainment, slaves would love their servitude." - Aldous Huxley.

Anywhere, it does not matter what country, where the birth rate is important, you have some form of oppression of the people.

Within the economic system, the capitalist doesn't realize he is a slave and that is why he is happy. The socialist does realize he is a slave, and that is why he will never be happy.

It does not matter how big the lie is. Keep on repeating it and they will believe it.

Where it all falls apart is when we try to create the perfect society. Alarm bells should be ringing when someone or some group says that they want to seize power to make society perfect.

Fanaticism is never healthy, regardless of whether you are Right leaning or Left leaning. If the fanatics on the Right got their way we would live in a fascist state. If the fanatics on the Left got their way we would live in a communist state. Thus it is very important that neither the Right nor Left hold a monopoly in society.

You must understand that the dream life is not a choice but a law.

Education is designed to mould you in such a way that you will demonstrate no resistance to your masters. That is its greatest achievement.

The idolization of democracy is its greatest attribute. That those living in democratic countries love their captivity and adore being oppressed slaves, is simply exquisite to look at.

Modern existence has intensified worry. We are anxious non-stop. We worry about job security or bills or what people think of us.

Authoritarianism, and that includes democracy, begins when the government starts telling you how to live your life. As if they know what's best for you.

You cannot talk about such and such a person being more free than someone else, but only about that such and such a person being less of a slave than someone else. But being less a slave is still slavery.

Do it and you are judged; don't do it and you are still judged. That is society in a nutshell.

There is no such thing as times of peace. Being an instrument of the economic system is war. The war of having to work and maintain relations with others. The only peace one can ever hope to achieve while alive is to not be a puppet of the economic system.

# Gratitude

What the anarchist needs above all else is gratitude. This “Anarchist Gratitude” is vital to get them through the world. A world that is unjust, unfair and will not change. But one must move on in spite of this.

This is where I diverge from right wing commentators like Jordan Peterson. If your motivations in life are to attain sex or success, you are doing things for the wrong reason.

The four words that govern me: “Is it worth it?” And it is much better to say that phrase as it is and not in the past tense. Nothing is worth sacrificing your life for, bar immortality.

Few, such is their sociological acclimation, can comprehend the serene grace of anonymity.

One does not need permission from someone else on how to view the world.

Instead of tweaking your face, how about you tweak your mind. That is the long lasting cure.

If we put as much time into doing good, as we do into looking good, the world would be a much better place.

If I had to say, I would guess that grateful people are more Left leaning than Right leaning. I think the Right is prone to dreaming about happiness whilst perpetually letting it slip from their grasp.

Solitude is the pulse of maturity. Thus great efforts are made by the system to deprive people of solitude, for the system wishes to keep the people ignorant.

In our quest to earn money, to service our vices, we alienate ourselves from the universe.

If you think of yourself as imprisoned, what then is the key to liberate you? Most people think of money or love. I think it is gratitude.

A slave is not just someone who has their rights taken away from them. A slave is also someone who lives a life of luxury and yet still demands more.

Just because the majority does it, does not make it right, honest or the way to become happy.

It is so true what Hemingway and Bukowski both said in different ways, that the more mature and intelligent you become, the unhappier you get.

Regarding Jordan Peterson's philosophy, I think it is good for society but bad for the individual. He would no doubt argue that a good society is good for the individual, which is true. Would you rather live in North Korea or the Uk? It answers itself. But I still adhere to the view that marriage and family only makes one's life more difficult. On top of what is already a most difficult existence to begin with.

Curiosity is the best teacher you will ever have.

The gravest mistake is to have sacrificed so much in return for very little. It is nothing short of self-betrayal.

If boredom is your biggest problem in life, you should count yourself lucky.

Does money make you happy depends on what actually one determines happiness to be. If being happy is simply not having to worry about bills ever again, then money will provide that happiness. But if being happy is trying to make everyone adore you, no amount of money will be enough.

It is childish to have the mentality that life must give back to you. True maturity is what you can give back to life.

You think you have it bad. There are children living in dire poverty that dream of the life you have.

We live in the future, always. "Once I am forty and have X, Y and Z, then I will be content." We are only happy with things we don't have; any happiness we possess is only for the life we dream of.

As Terence McKenna remarked, people are lost because they demand more to live for other than themselves.

Just because you are drifting in the sea, doesn't mean that you are lost. You have to keep the faith that you will find your island.

Gratitude is vital for the anarchist. The revolution won't change anything. The only thing you can change in the system is yourself.

We are all following something or someone. That is why we are lost. It is either communism or Manchester United or Brad Pitt or whatever. The first step towards maturity, which is the only freedom attainable, is to stop following other things.

In a world where everyone dreams of being famous, maturity is the opposite, as in it is not wanting to be famous. True maturity is realizing how much more difficult your life would become if you became famous.

Perhaps only if we knew the exact time and date of our death, would we begin to show any appreciation.

That we have to pay therapists to figure us out, really demonstrates how busy we are and how little time we have to think. If you are so busy with work and raising children you cannot analyze yourself. Thus we have to hire someone to do it for us.

One thing that always perplexed me was how society sustained itself through the family unit, when the family unit makes your life more difficult. In my opinion it is a contradiction because having children does not make you happier. The answer lies in sociological conditioning. People are conditioned on the family unit, in the same vein that they cannot perceive the universe. How many people as they sit in their office, marvel at the splendor of the stars? Very few, chiefly because they have been harnessed to understand love and work above all else.

One must understand that the courage to be happy in life is tied to the courage to be disliked. If you are prepared to be disliked and not try to please everyone, you have the maturity to be happy. Psychologists know this all too well. Those that visit them, if they are people pleasers, afraid of being disliked by one person, they are more than likely to be unhappy. Whereas those that are comfortable with being disliked (not by everyone) have the skillset to be happy. It is a distinct sign of immaturity if you want to be liked by everyone.

A tragedy, no doubt, but it is only when we are close to death do we stop worrying about things that don't really matter.

We think we know exactly how we will become happy. And when you think you know exactly everything about something you tend to be philosophically immature.

When you don't have a profound sense of gratitude, you either become addicted to pleasure or else the brutal nature of the world gets to you.

Materialism will never die as long as the sociological triad remains: Education, Urbanization and Work. I would go so far as to say that even if only one of them remained, materialism would still be intact.

The best time to be happy was twenty years ago; the second best time to be happy is always now.

Life is very stressful. If you are not cognizant of that, you have not lived.

It is the rebellious children that go on to become billionaires. Those that are too aligned to society, too afraid to swim against the current, don't get anywhere in life.

Solitude is the best bureaucracy to have in charge of you.

Fame is nothing more than a slow form of suicide.

If only there was a Botox for the mind. That would solve a lot of problems.



The child that is rejected or bullied responds by trying to accumulate likes through followers. For every person that dislikes them, they need a 100 people to like them.

It is true what Rollo May (one of my heroes) said: courage is not the absence of despair or suffering or stress or tragedy; it is the ability to live and move forward despite those four things.

Being resentful and finding offence in everything insignificant thing, is a distinct sign of immaturity. Mature people just ignore.

What makes weak men weak is their eagerness to idolize.

You are the most qualified person to determine how you should live. In fact you are the only person in that regard.

The perfect life is the enemy of the pretty good life.

To excel in this capitalistic environment, you must be prepared to accept unjust choices.

When the male lacks gratitude, he needs sex to prop up his self-esteem. When the female lacks gratitude, she seeks the family unit to prop up her self-esteem.

The weakest people are the good people.

Such is the mob mentality nowadays that one must exist but not live.

People remark that they don't want to suffer in life and in the future. But by saying that you are already suffering.

When you don't have a profound sense of gratitude, you become consumed with seeking pleasure.

The penitentiary is not a concrete cage; it is your mind.

“We all want to be famous, and the moment you want to be something, you can never be free.” - Jiddu. The moment you want to be someone other than yourself, you can never be enlightened.

If I ever become famous and have legions of fans saying I changed their lives, then I have failed completely. My philosophy is to follow no one nor nothing, other than yourself. I am no prophet; I am just trying to make you think.

So long as you are following other trends, or philosophies or even people, you will be eternally shipwrecked. That is one of the hallmarks of maturity, in that you think and decide for yourself, devoid of bias, rather than letting others do it for you.

Nobody cared about you for 14 billion years before you were born, and no one will care about you for the trillions of years that pass in the universe after you die. So basically you have this brief flicker of thunder we call life, where you are obsessed with what others think of you. When really in the grander scheme of things you are irrelevant.

Love is really not love at all. It has been industrialized by the system. One has a checklist of all these things they must accomplish or attain in life, and love is one of them. The problem is that billions of others have the exact same checklist. Our life is like Starbucks or McDonalds in that the products of those shops are the same in every different shop in every different country.

We cannot all be at the top. The top can only support a minority. Most are in the middle class or the lower class. But if you are in those two classes and dreaming of making into the mile high club,

you are going to waste your most precious asset that is your existence. I think this is why gratitude is vital for the self-proclaimed anarchist. Gratitude is the weapon you use to take on the world. It makes the world better, but most importantly it makes you better.

There are good ways to live, but no good ways to die.

Self-betrayal is the worst betrayal, and in modern society we have a habit of doing that to ourselves.

A system is only as good as those that run it.

The price one always pays for being mature and hence actually happy, is alienation from society, and most cannot live with that.

This is where I differ with right wing commentators. If you are lost when young, and then you go off and do what the system tells you, and you discover wealth and success and even perhaps love, I don't think you have found yourself. I think you are just putting a band-aid over a very deep wound.

Some Right Wing commentators say you should never be happy with who you are. But that is the kind of thinking that drives the plastic surgery industry.

If you are liked by everyone in the neighbourhood, I would take it as an insult. Being unanimously liked by everyone is a sign that you lack the courage to be.

At the end of the day you will be in so much pain as you near death, the only question being, is it a quick death or a long drawn out one. The greatest existential question is: what makes life worth that pain?

That really is the fundamental question of our time. You have to figure that question out as young as possible.

You have to be continuously asking yourself a profound question: "Is it worth it?" And you have to think 20 or 30 years in advance when you ask that question.

Your freedom, if it counts for anything, is the courage to go against the herd. As I have said already, your liberty in life rises in direct proportion to being disliked.

The End.